

MHHS SIT Advisory Group (SITAG) Headline Report

Issue date: 21/03/2025

| | | | |
|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| Meeting number | SITAG 014 | Venue | Virtual – MS Teams |
| Date and time | 19 March 2025 10:00-12:00 | Classification | Public |

Actions

| Area | Action Ref | Action | Owner | Due Date |
|--|-------------------|--|---|------------|
| SIT Operational Test Scenarios and Test Cases - Theme 3 (Batch 2 – Supplementary) | SITAG14-01 | DIP Manager to liaise with RECCo and relevant parties to discuss DIP offboarding design. | DIP Manager, RECCo (Robert Golding, Jonny Moore) | 24/04/2025 |

Decisions

| Area | Decision Ref | Description | Rationale |
|--|--------------|--|--|
| Minutes and Actions | SITAG-DEC58 | The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved the headline report of the previous meeting with no amendments. | The SRO invited objections to the approval of the document, to which none were received. |
| SIT Operational Test Scenarios and Test Cases - Theme 3 (Batch 2 – Supplementary) | SITAG-DEC59 | The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved SIT Operational Test Scenarios and Test Cases - Theme 3 (Batch 2 – Supplementary). | The SRO invited objections to the approval of the Test Scenarios and Test Cases, to which none were received. |
| Descoping MDR Testing | SITAG-DEC60 | The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved the removal of MDR testing from SIT Functional scope. | The SRO invited objections to the approval of the removal of MDR testing from SIT Functional scope, to which none were received. |

| | | | |
|--|-------------|---|---|
| Programme Milestones related to SITAG | SITAG-DEC61 | The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved Milestone name change of T3-TE-0040 from 'Theme 2 SIT Non-Functional Testing Start' to 'Themes 2 & 3 SIT Non-Functional Testing Start'. | The SRO invited objections to the approval of the Milestone name change, to which none were received. |
|--|-------------|---|---|

Key Discussion Items

| Area | Discussion |
|--|---|
| Minutes and Actions | DECISION: The headline reports of the previous meetings were approved with no amendments (SITAG-DEC58 – recording timestamp 00:03:12). |
| SIT Operational Test Scenarios and Test Cases - Theme 3 (Batch 2 – Supplementary) | <p>Programme provided an overview of context and decision choreography for SIT Operational Test Scenarios and Test Cases - Theme 3 (Batch 2 – Supplementary) prior to SRO approval.</p> <p>RECCo Representative noted a few points regarding the migration tests being transferred across. They noted issues with the timing and order of test steps during operational testing and suggests reviewing them properly if they are not to be descoped. Programme acknowledged this and agreed with the representative if migration tests are brought into tests into operational scope.</p> <p>RECCo Representative then raised a concern about the DIP offboarding test case, noting that the end-to-end design isn't available from the DIP manager and requests a risk be recognised for M10. They also mentioned a market segment test case and suggests holding a meeting with the Programme to correct it before starting SIT Operational. Programme informed member that the corrected version of the segmentation test case is now available on the Collaboration Base.</p> <p>Large Supplier Representative raised an observation from one of their constituents about not receiving responses to all comments pushed back in the consultation. Programme clarified that responses were sent out last night.</p> <p>DIP Manager Representative questioned the availability of the offboarding design from the DIP manager, noting that the technical design is straightforward, but the operational process isn't clear. SITAG members proposed an action to arrange a meeting to work through and agree on the process.</p> <p>ACTION: DIP Manager to liaise with RECCo and relevant parties to discuss DIP offboarding design (SITAG14-01).</p> <p>SITAG chair then moved to the decision, asking if there were any objections to the approval of the Test Scenarios and Test Cases, to which none were received.</p> <p>DECISION: The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved SIT Operational Test Scenarios and Test Cases - Theme 3 (Batch 2 – Supplementary) (SITAG-DEC59 – recording timestamp 00:12:08).</p> |
| Descoping MDR Testing | <p>Programme explained the rationale behind descoping MDR testing noting that the requirements are covered by other tests, with confirmation from BSC.</p> <p>DIP Manager Representative asked about the knock-on effect into qualification with the removal of certain tests. Programme responded by explaining that all these tests are outside of qualification because the majority of the test steps are related to existing supplier or MDR interactions with DCC systems, which are outside the scope of MHHS design. Programme further clarified that the requirements against MDRs</p> |

| | |
|---|---|
| | <p>did not appear within any of the SIT functional tests and that it has been agreed with the BSC that these tests are out of scope based on the rationale.</p> <p>SITAG chair then moved to the decision, asking if there were any objections to the approval of the removal of the following tests from SIT Functional scope:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SITFSTS-0260 TC01 Smart • SITFSTS-0260 TC02 Smart • SITFSTS-0260 TC03 Smart Consent Change • SITFSTS-0260 TC04 SRV 5.1 Error • SITFSTS-0270 TC01 Smart <p>No objections raised by SITAG members.</p> <p>DECISION: The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved the removal of MDR testing from SIT Functional scope (SITAG-DEC60 – recording timestamp 00:19:33).</p> |
| <p>SIT Operational Readiness Update for Themes 1, 2, and 3 Batch 2</p> | <p>Programme provided an update on SIT Operational Readiness for Themes 1, 2, and 3 Batch 2 ahead of eSITAG approval on 28 March 2025. No comments or questions raised by SITAG members.</p> |
| <p>SIT Test Progress Update</p> | <p>Programme provided a SIT Test Progress Update for SIT Functional, Migration and Non-Functional.</p> <p>Programme reported that Sprint 13 saw 102 test passes across cohorts, with progress slowing as participants work through remaining tests, and highlighted that seven of eight cohorts are now 100% passed in SIT migration.</p> <p>Supplier Agent Representative (Independent Supplier Agent) asked if the 85% for SIT Functional included shared cohort tests, to which Programme confirmed that it does include all tests, main impaired cohort tests, and settlement. Representative expressed uncertainty about the daily reports, and Programme clarified that the position is based on test cases as of the end of the Sprint.</p> <p>Programme provided an update on SIT NFT Theme 1 testing, noting successful completion of peak hour load runs and a planned soak test, comfortably exceeding target transaction rates.</p> |
| <p>Exit from SIT Operational Theme 3 (Batch 1)</p> | <p>Programme discussed the status update on SIT OPS Theme 3, Batch 1, highlighting actions being undertaken to resolve recognised gaps and further development for the parent-child ServiceNow registration. Large Supplier Representative raised a question about the timing of the proposed test phase, and Programme explained that ongoing planning discussions with the Elexon Helix team are addressing the timing and approach, with a risk raised in the RAID log to recognise potential timing conflicts.</p> <p>Large Supplier Representative asked about the current RAG status, wondering if it had moved from red to amber given the detailed actions. Programme clarified that the status remains red until there is a confident plan for hitting delivery. Large Supplier Representative mentioned confusion from a recent meeting, and Programme confirmed that the status has not shifted to amber.</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| Regression Testing | Programme explained the approach to the regression framework, which includes a core regression test selection and an evidence-based assessment of regression risk and mentioned that the regression pack has been issued for SITWG review. Large Supplier Representative asked about additional tests for new connections, and Programme clarified that while specific tests for new connections are not currently in the regression pack, they are under discussion and participants will be informed if they are included. |
| IPA Updates | IPA provided an update on test assurance. No comments or questions raised by SITAG members. |
| Programme Milestones related to SITAG | <p>The Programme ran through Programme Milestones related to SITAG.</p> <p>Programme requested Milestone name change of T3-TE-0040 from Theme 2 SIT Non-Functional Testing Start to Theme 2 & 3 SIT Non-Functional Testing Start.</p> <p>DECISION: The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved Milestone name change of T3-TE-0040 from 'Theme 2 SIT Non-Functional Testing Start' to 'Themes 2 & 3 SIT Non-Functional Testing Start' (SITAG-DEC60 – recording timestamp 01:05:18).</p> |
| Top Programme Risks related to SITAG | The Programme introduced the top programme risks related to SITAG. No comments or questions were raised from SITAG members. |
| Summary and Next Steps | The Secretariat confirmed the actions and decisions captured in the meeting. No comments or questions were raised from SITAG members. |

Date of next meeting: 28 March 2025

Attendees

Chair

Adrian Ackroyd (on behalf of Chris Welby)

MHHS SRO

Industry Representatives

Graham Wood

James Murphy

Jonny Moore

Lijo Louis

Robert Golding

Santosh Vasudevan

Shaun Brundrett

Stacey Buck

Terri Hamilton

Large Supplier Representative

Supplier Agent Representative

(Independent Supplier Agent)

RECCo Representative

Medium Supplier Representative

DIP Manager

Elexon Representative

Small Supplier Representative

IDNO Representative

DNO Representative

MHHS

Anne Robinson

David O’Riordan

Dominic Mooney

Jason Brogden

John Wiggins

Keith Clark

Kiran Raj

Paul Pettitt

Roger Robar

Salman Bukhari

Steve Evans

Stuart Scott

PMO Governance Support

NFT Lead

SIT Manager

Programme Industry SME

Programme Industry SME

LDP Programme Manager

SIT Functional Test Lead

Design Management Lead

Programme Test Manager

Test Architect

Operational Test Lead

Programme Industry SME

Apologies

Gavin Gallimore

Richard Marriot

Confirmed not filling seat

Confirmed not filling seat

DCC Representative

NGESO Representative

I&C Supplier Representative

Consumer Representative

Other Attendees

Andy MacFaul

Fahreen Japp

Jenny Boothe

Paul Daniels

Rinu Varghese

Taylor Thorpe

Ofgem

Ofgem

Ofgem

Avanade

Elexon

IPA